STATE OF CONNECTICUT

AUDITORS' REPORT INVESTMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

AUDITORS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS KEVIN P. JOHNSTON • ROBERT G. JAEKLE

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
COMMENTS:	1
Foreword	1
Council Members	2
Résumé of Operations	3
Council Expenditures	3
Investment Advisory Council Meetings	3
Combined Investment Funds	4
Asset Allocation Policy	6
Investment Mix	7
Outside Advisors	
Audit Findings Reported in our State Treasurer-State Financial Operations A	udit Reports .8
Subsequent Events	
Investment Advisory Council Meetings	9
CONDITION OF RECORDS	10
RECOMMENDATIONS	11
AUDITORS' CERTIFICATIONS	12
CONCLUSION	13

May 14, 2003

AUDITORS' REPORT INVESTMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

We have made an examination of the financial records of the Treasurer of the State of Connecticut as they pertain to the operations of the Investment Advisory Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.

This report on the above examination consists of the following Comments and Recommendations. Separate reports are issued on the State Treasurer financial operations and internal operations of the State Treasury that include auditors' certifications. This report also includes information concerning the Combined Investment Funds that was obtained from our audit of the State Treasurer-State Financial Operations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.

COMMENTS

FOREWORD:

The Investment Advisory Council (referred to as "IAC" or "Council" in this report) operates under the provisions of various statutes, primarily Section 3-13b. The Council's statutory responsibilities consist basically of the following:

- 1. Review Trust Fund investments by the State Treasurer (Section 3-13b, subsection (c) (2)).
- 2. Review and approve for adoption, an Investment Policy Statement which shall set forth the standards governing investment of trust funds by the State Treasurer. Any revisions to the Investment Policy Statement shall be made in consultation with and with the approval of the Investment Advisory Council. (Section 3-13b, subsection (c) (1)).
- 3. Give its advice and consent to the appointment of a Chief Investment Officer for the retirement, pension and trust funds (Section 3-13a, subsection (a)).
- 4. Make a complete examination of the security investments of the State and determine as of June 30, the value of such investments in the custody of the Treasurer and report thereon to the Governor (Section 3-13b, subsection (c) (2)).

Further, the Governor may direct the Treasurer to change any investment made by the Treasurer when, in the judgment of the Council, such action is in the best interest of the State.

The Council is within the State Treasurer's Office for administrative purposes only and the Treasurer's Office continues to maintain the minutes, provide office space for meetings and other support services. The Council's expenses are paid by the Treasurer's Office from the investment earnings of the retirement and trust funds.

Council Members:

Pursuant to Section 3-13b of the General Statutes, the Investment Advisory Council, as of June 30, 2002, should consist of 12 members. The State Treasurer is an ex-officio member that also serves as Secretary of the Council. Members of the Investment Advisory Council as of June 30, 2002, were as follows:

Ex-officio members:

Denise L. Nappier - State Treasurer and Secretary of the Investment Advisory Council Marc S. Ryan - Secretary, Office of Policy and Management

Public members - One appointed by the Governor and four appointed by Legislative leaders:

Steven W. Hart, Chairman

Henry E. Parker

Reginald Martin

George H. Mason

Clarence L. Roberts, Jr.

Teachers' unions representatives:

Sharon M. Palmer

Clare H. Barnett

Rosalyn B. Schoonmaker

State employees' unions representatives:

Jeffrey H. Mockler (until March 2002)*

Carol M. Thomas

^{*}Replacement not appointed as of June 30, 2002.

RÉSUMÉ OF OPERATIONS:

Council Expenditures:

State Treasurer expenditures, presented below on the accrual basis, for the IAC for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002 and June 30,2001, are summarized as follows:

Description	<u>2001-2002</u>	<u>2000-2001</u>
Consultant	\$ 50,000	\$ 0
Travel – Out of State	7,553	5,982
Travel – In State	5,301	2,284
Overnight Mail	3,314	1,906
Meeting Costs	3,205	3,204
Other	1,125	3,656
Subscriptions	<u>899</u>	<u>855</u>
Total	<u>\$ 71,397</u>	<u>\$ 17,887</u>

Consultant expenses were paid to a firm to review the statutory and fiduciary responsibility of the IAC. Out of State travel expenditures were for members' attendance at various investment-related conferences held throughout the country. Most of the remaining expenditures were for the cost of the IAC meetings.

Investment Advisory Council Meetings:

The Investment Advisory Council held ten regular meetings during the fiscal year. Investment performance of the Treasurer's external managers and in-house staff (for the Short Term Investment Fund) were reviewed at these meetings. In summary, the Council reviewed and discussed items such as statistical, analytical and historical data prepared by Treasury staff, outside advisors and consultants, the overall performance data of Combined Investment Funds (CIF) and the Short Term Investment Fund (STIF) and the relative performance of the investment managers.

Some of the actions/activities, which occurred during the 2001-2002 fiscal year, follow:

- 1. The IAC hired a consultant to advise it regarding procedures for the future conduct of business.
- 2. Continued discussions regarding investment fund performance; pending litigation; the search for International Stock Fund managers and a consultant for the Real Estate Fund; and the status of proxy voting.
- 3. Approved the Treasurer's Investment Policy Statement and endorsed changes to the Securities Lending Program.
- 4. The IAC 2001-2002 budget was reviewed and approved.

Auditors of Public Accounts

- 5. Endorsed the Treasurer's Domestic Equity Brokerage Program to promote opportunity for Connecticut-based, minority-owned, women-owned, and emerging broker dealers to do business with the Treasurer's Office.
- 6. Approved the Treasurer's recommendation to appoint new Chief Investment Officer for the Combined Investment Fund.
- 7. Endorsed the Treasurer's recommendation to enter into a contract with a firm for research data and consulting services.

In addition to the historical review functions and discussions of the investment matters mentioned above, the Council periodically requested the external managers to present, in person and/or in writing, reports and explanations on their overall investment approach including styles, diversification, goals and strategies. In essence, the external advisors are to provide diverse portfolio management approaches compatible with investment objectives, standards, and restrictions set by the Council, Treasurer and applicable statutes. The Treasurer also utilizes a financial consulting firm on an ongoing basis to provide analytical and performance information of the individual investment funds, as well as the Combined Investment Fund as a whole. A representative of the firm attends the IAC meetings, and written reports of investment results are provided to the IAC at least quarterly. These reports include a summary of the Securities Lending Program used by the Combined Investment Fund.

Information concerning the Combined Investment Fund and the results of its operations during the 2001-2002 fiscal year, together with recent developments follow. Most of this information is also included in our Audit Report on the State Treasurer – State Financial Operations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.

Combined Investment Funds:

In general, the Pension Funds Management Division (PFMD) operates under the provisions contained primarily in Part I, Chapter 32, of the General Statutes, particularly Sections 3-13a, 3-13b, 3-13d, 3-31a and 3-31b.

The Pension Funds Management Division is responsible for managing the assets of seven pension funds and seven trust funds having total net assets of more than \$18,700,000,000, as of June 30, 2002. The Division invests the assets of these funds in accordance with an investment program through the purchase of ownership interests in a Combined Investment Fund. Each asset class (i.e., Fixed Income, U.S. Equity, etc.) within the Fund holds investments of the Combined Investment Fund. During the audited fiscal year, and as of June 30, 2002, the Combined Investment Fund (CIF) consisted of the Mutual Fixed Income (MFIF), Mutual Equity (MEF), Real Estate (REF), International Stock (ISF), Private Investment (PIF), Commercial Mortgage (CMF), and the Cash Reserve (CRF) Funds. Record keeping and custody of most assets is provided by a master custodian (State Street Bank). As of June 30, 2002, the Division employed 68 external advisors to manage and invest the assets of the Combined Investment Fund.

The cost of operating the Treasury's Pension Funds Management Division, including the cost of personnel and professional investment advisors retained, is charged against the investment income of the Combined Investment Fund. Transfers are made from the investment funds to a special General Fund account from which Pension Funds Management Division operating expenses (salaries, advisor and management fees, supplies, etc.) are paid. Expenses of the Combined Investment Funds, excluding external advisor expenses, were approximately \$5,000,000 for the 2001-2002 fiscal year.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, the Combined Investment Funds realized a negative annual total return of (6.39) percent, according to the Annual Report. The return was higher than that of the S&P 500 index of negative (17.99) percent, but lower than the Lehman Aggregate Bond and blended index returns of 8.63 percent and negative (5.12) percent, respectively. The "blended index" is a 50/50 blend of the S&P 500 and the Lehman Aggregate Bond indexes. We note that in fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, the Treasury started calculating a blended index to more accurately compare the overall return of the fund against market conditions using the weighted averages of the asset classes. The fund return of negative (6.39) percent was higher than the calculated benchmark of the new Connecticut Multiple Market Index of negative (9.60) percent. These indexes represent three of four "benchmarks" used by the Division to evaluate investment return. The fourth is the actuarially determined assumed rate of return of 8.5 percent that is internally established. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, the Combined Investment Funds realized a negative annual total return of (3.68) percent.

A summary of the percentage returns of the Combined Investment Funds and the retirement and trust funds that are invested in the Combined Investment Funds, as reported in the State Treasurer's Annual Report, for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2001 and 2002 are presented below.

		Percentage Return			
Combined Investment Fur	<u>ıd:</u>	<u>2001-2002</u>	<u>2000-2001</u>		
Net Total Combined Investr	nent Fund	(6.39)%	(3.68)%		
Mutual Equity	MEF	(14.75)%	(9.55)%		
International Stock	ISF	(9.00)%	(13.29)%		
Real Estate	REF	0.81 %	14.45 %		
Mutual Fixed Income	MFIF	5.64 %	8.03 %		
Commercial Mortgage	CMF	1.19 %	10.88 %		
Private Investment	PIF	(10.81)%	(6.25)%		
Cash Reserve	CRF	3.03 %	6.35 %		
Retirement and Trust Fun	ds:				
Net Total Return Retiremen	t and Trust Funds	(6.39)%	(3.68)%		
Teachers' Retirement Fund	(TRF)	(6.58)%	(3.71)%		
State Employees' Retiremen	t Fund (SERF)	(6.62)%	(3.72)%		
Municipal Employees' Retir	ement Fund (MERF)	(6.41)%	(3.55)%		
Probate Court Retirement F	und (Probate)	(5.89)%	(3.20)%		
Judges' Retirement Fund (Ju	ıdges')	(5.88)%	(2.81)%		
State's Attorneys' Retiremen	nt Fund (St. Atty.)	(7.94)%	(1.75)%		
Trust Funds		1.16 %	4.09 %		

Investment performance for individual retirement funds varies based on the mixture of combined investment fund types held by each. The investment performance for trust funds is a composite of returns earned by nine trust funds that participate in the Treasurer's Combined Investment Fund. Trust Funds include the School and Agricultural College Funds, The Soldiers', Sailors' and Marines' Fund, the Police and Fireman's Survivors' Benefit Fund, Endowment for the Arts, Hopemead Fund, Ida Eaton Cotton Fund, Andrew Clark Fund and the Tobacco and Health Trust Fund.

Asset Allocation Policy:

The Treasurer's Asset Allocation Policy was in use for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002. The Asset Allocation Policy includes lower and upper ranges for the asset classes along with their target percentages (indicated in bold print).

<u>Investments</u>	Target Policy	Asset Class	Range and Target Policy	Allocation as of June 30, 2002
U.S. Equity	36%	MEF	29% 36% 43%	36%
International Equity Developed Markets Emerging Markets	18% 15% 3%		14% 18% 22%	12%
Fixed Income Cash Core Bonds Inflation-linked Bonds High-yield Bonds Non-U.S. Emerging Mar	30% 1% 20% 1% 5% kets 3%	MFIF,CRA,CMF CRA MFIF, CMF MFIF MFIF MFIF	26% 30% 34%	37%
Real Estate and Private Equity Real Estate Private Investments		REF	4% 5% 6% 6% 11% 11%	3% 12%

As of June 30, 2002, the International Stock Fund and the Real Estate Fund are under allocated. The Treasury is working to establish contracts with investment advisors and restructure both the International Stock Fund and the Real Estate Fund. It is expected that asset allocations will be adjusted appropriately when this restructuring occurs.

The Fixed Income Fund and the Private Investment Fund are over allocated from their target allocation percentages. According to the Treasury, the over allocation is based on current market conditions; because other asset classes suffered a greater decline in overall market value, the assets within the Private Investment Fund and the Fixed Income Fund are beyond the Treasury's target policy. The Treasury is working to correct these allocations with the current restructuring plan.

Investment Mix:

A summary of the Retirement Funds' investment activity in the Combined Investment Fund is presented below. This information is presented in detail in the Treasurer's Annual Report, pages S-2 through S-6. The amounts below are presented in millions of dollars.

	6/30/01			R	ealized	Change _	6/30	<u>/02</u>	
Participant	Market	Share Tr	ansactio	ns G	ain on	in Mkt.	Mkt.	Percent	Inv.
Funds	Values	Purch R	edemp 1	Net R	edemp	<u>Value</u>	Values	Holdings	<u>Income</u>
	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		5
TRF	11,204.0	656.1	633.8	22.3	1.6	(1,120.6)	10,107.	3 54.0 %	388.8
SERF	7,785.1	326.4	241.3	85.1	1.3	(781.1)	7,090.	4 38.0 %	271.3
MERF	1,297.7	44.8	30.8	14.0	0.0	(127.2)	1,184.	5 6.3 %	45.0
Probate	66.7	3.4	3.1	0.3	0.0	(6.1)	60.	9 0.3 %	2.2
Judges'	135.7	4.9	2.7	2.2	0.0	(12.7)	125.	2 0.7 %	4.8
St. Atty.	0.7	0.0	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	0.1	0.	7 0.0 %	0.0
All Other	103.3	81.9	43.1	38.8	2.0	(7.0)	137.	2 0.7 %	6.3
Accrual Cha	5								(0.6)
Totals	\$20,593.2	<u>\$1,117.5</u>	<u>\$954.9</u>	<u>\$162.6</u>	<u>\$ 4.9</u>	<u>\$(2,054.6)</u>	<u>\$18,706</u>	<u>.2</u> <u>100%</u>	<u>\$717.8</u>

The separate investment funds mentioned previously are used to account for different types of investments. For instance, CRF invests primarily in money market instruments having maturities of two years or less. Most of the MEF and ISF portfolios consist of investments in stocks and most of the MFIF portfolio consists of investments in bonds. The net assets (net worth or equity) of each fund at market gives some idea of the investment mix. A summary of the Combined Investment Fund's activity is presented below. This information is presented in detail in the Treasurer's Annual Report, page F-12. The amounts below are presented in millions of dollars.

Combined	6/30/01	Par	ticipant Fu	ınd Acti	vity			6/30/02
Investmen				Income	Net	Invest		
Net Pe	rcent							
<u>Funds</u>	Assets	Purch	Redemp	<u>Distrib</u>	<u>Contrib</u>	<u>Return</u>	Assets	Holdings
CRF	\$1,356.9	\$ 3,629.7	\$ 3,543.7	\$ 44.4	\$ 41.6	\$ 44.4	\$1,442.9	7.7 %
MEF	7,930.6	9.1	2.6	69.5	(63.0)	(1,191.0)	6,676.6	35.7 %
ISF	2,502.8	0.0	0.0	44.6	(44.6)	(231.5)	2,226.7	11.9 %
MFIF	6,585.8	28.6	56.0	391.1	(418.5)	359.0	6,526.3	34.9 %
REF	476.0	13.5	4.1	17.9	(8.5)	3.7	471.2	2.5 %
CMF	101.2	0.0	21.9	6.9	(28.8)	0.9	73.3	0.4 %
PIF	2,607.4	166.5	32.0	173.3	(38.8)	(287.6)	2,281.0	12.2 %
Elim. Entry	y* (967.5)	(2,729.9)	(2,705.5)	(29.9)	5.5	(29.9)	(991.9)	(5.3)%
Totals	<u>\$20,593.2</u>	\$1,117.5	<u>\$954.8</u>	<u>\$717.8</u>	<u>\$(555.1)</u>	<u>\$(1,332.0)</u>	\$18,706.1	<u>100%</u>

^{*}The "elimination entry" removes the Cash Reserve Fund investments of each of the other asset classes so that it will not be counted twice in the totals.

Outside Advisors:

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, outside advisors managed all of the CIF portfolios. The number of outside advisors and advisor expenses by fund, as reported in the Combined Investment Funds financial statements and notes included in the State Treasurer's Annual Report, for services rendered during the 2001-2002 and 2000-2001 fiscal years are summarized below:

	# of Advisors-	Expenses	# of Advisors-	Expenses
<u>CIF</u>	June 30, 2002	2001-2002	June 30, 2001	2000-2001
MFIF	10	\$10,766,845	10	\$ 12,409,908
MEF	8	18,468,060	10	31,104,059
ISF	6	15,058,168	6	10,124,114
CRF	1	221,652	1	261,204
CMF	1	442,777	1	566,633
PIF	35	42,177,620	35	44,315,086
REF	<u>7</u>	4,711,148	<u>7</u>	6,094,929
Total	<u>68</u>	<u>\$91,846,270</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>\$104,875,933</u>

The above consists of the Mutual Fixed Income (MFIF), Mutual Equity (MEF), International Stock (ISF), Cash Reserve (CRF), Commercial Mortgage (CMF), Private Investment (PIF), and the Real Estate (REF) Funds.

The performance-based fee structures for investment advisors for the MEF, ISF and MFIF are based on whether the investment advisors' returns exceeded their relative benchmarks during the year. If investment returns of the advisors exceed the benchmark returns, they have the potential to earn significant incentive fees. It appears more investment advisors outperformed their benchmark in the ISF, compared to the MEF where many investment advisors did not appear to meet their earnings benchmark.

The management fees for the MFIF, MEF and ISF as reported in the Annual Report are based on estimates of the performance bonus, which is paid subsequent to June 30. The actual advisor fee expense differed from the reported amount, due to these performance bonus estimations.

Audit Findings Reported in Our State Treasurer – State Financial Operations Audit Reports:

Our State Treasurer – State Financial Operations Audit Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2002, included one recommendation concerning the Pension Fund Management Division. It was recommended that wire log information be periodically reconciled to bank records.

Our prior State Treasurer – State Financial Operations Audit Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001, included one recommendation concerning the Pension Fund Management Division and the Combined Investment Fund. It was recommended that the Treasury confirm the value of Private Equity Fund stock distributions on hand with the broker on a regular basis. This recommendation was being addressed and was not repeated in our subsequent report.

Subsequent Events:

There were two subsequent events disclosed in the Combined Investment Fund's Notes to Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2002. Subsequent to June 30, 2002, an investment advisor forwarded to the State Treasury \$267,000,000 in gains that they had previously failed to distribute appropriately. Another investment advisor within the Private Investment Fund experienced a significant decline in market value of \$4,000,000, or 35 percent of its overall value, during the period between June 30 and October 15, 2002. The decline may be attributed, in part, to fiscal improprieties at the investment advisor level.

Investment Advisory Council Meetings:

Between July 1, 2002 and October 15, 2002, two meetings were held. Matters covered by the IAC at those meetings, in addition to those mentioned earlier follow.

Motion passed to waive the 45-day review period for an investment proposed by the State Treasurer.

CONDITION OF RECORDS

Our review of the financial operations of the Investment Advisory Council revealed no areas that require disclosure at this time.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Status of Prior Audit Recommendations:

Our previous report on the Investment Advisory Council revealed no areas that required disclosure.

AUDITORS' CERTIFICATIONS

As mentioned previously, separate reports are issued on the State Treasurer financial operations and internal operations of the State Treasury both of which include Auditors' Certifications. These Certifications cover the operations and activities discussed in this report.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we wish to express our appreciation for the cooperation and courtesies extended
to our representatives by the personnel of the State Treasurer's Office and the Investment Advisory
Council during the course of our examination.

Thomas W. Willametz Administrative Auditor

Approved:

Kevin P. Johnston Auditor of Public Accounts Robert G. Jaekle Auditor of Public Accounts